



DICTAMINATION FORM

Dear Reviewer:

The Innova Research Journal thanks you for your valuable collaboration and contribution in the manuscript review process. This will allow us to guarantee the quality of the articles published in the journal. All manuscripts are submitted to a double-blind peer-review system, so your personal information will be omitted.

We suggest taking special care with the feedback given to the authors. It is important to ensure that you are objective and that the comments and recommendations are consistent with your final decision (reject or accept the work). For the authors, the reviewers' contributions are important, so we invite you to be as specific as possible in your feedback, always taking care to avoid being offensive.

Below is the evaluation guide, which details a set of criteria for review. In the comment or recommendations column, please indicate your judgment on this work and do not forget to assign the score established by the journal.

At the end of the document, please indicate your opinion regarding the arbitrated document.

Manuscript title:	
Date of evaluation (dd/mm/yyyy):	
The document correspond to: (mark with an X)	
<i>Scientific research article</i>	
<i>Bibliographic review article</i>	
<i>Other (specify)</i>	

PUNCTUATION	
1	Does NOT meet established criteria or requires complete restructuring.
2	Requires major changes to meet requirements (specify suggested changes).
3	Requires minor changes to meet requirements (specify suggested changes).
4	Meets criteria.

SCIENTIFIC RESEARCH ARTICLE

Criteria	Score	Comments or Recommendations (required)
1. TITLE		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> The title is concise and informative about the main idea of the paper. Avoids abbreviations. Generates reading expectations that are fulfilled. 		
2. ABSTRACT		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides necessary information to help the reader identify the study and its relevance. Includes the study's objectives, methodology, main findings, and conclusions. 		
3. INTRODUCTION		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Provides information on the topic. Describes the research problem, justification, and relevance. Clearly and concisely presents the article's objectives or research hypothesis. 		
4. THEORETICAL FRAMEWORK		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Conceptual framework is coherent and aligned with the methodology. Defines the terms used in the study. Supports the conceptual framework with appropriate authoritative sources. The article references more than one author discussing each variable, either in agreement or opposition. 		
5. METHODOLOGY		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Describes the methodological approach, type, and scope of the research. Clearly explains data processing (methods) and data collection. Describes the population and sampling type (if applicable). Specifies how the tools were validated and how the results were verified. 		

Criteria	Score	Comments or Recommendations (required)
6. RESULTS AND DISCUSSION		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Presents results in an organized manner based on specific objectives, research problems, hypotheses, etc. • Tables and figures are relevant and clearly represented. • Provides commentary or analysis of tables and figures. • The text does not repeat information presented in tables and figures. 		
7. CONCLUSIONS		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Summarizes the main topics addressed in the study. • Conclusions are aligned with the article's purpose and title. • Provides recommendations, discussions, and reflections on the results. • Mentions study limitations. 		
8. GENERAL COHERENCE		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensures consistency between the title, research problem, objectives, theoretical framework, methodology, and conclusions. 		
9. CONTRIBUTIONS		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Demonstrates the author's critical contribution. • Presents coherent arguments, originality, and relevant interpretations of results. • Shows originality of the work. 		
10. CLARITY		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Ensures coherence, fluency, and proper use of examples and didactic supports. 		
11. REFERENCES		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Adheres to APA citation, source, and reference guidelines. • Bibliographic references are cited in the text and vice versa. 		

Criteria	Score	Comments or Recommendations (required)
12. CITATION		
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Predominantly uses recent sources (preferably within the last five years). References include indexed journal publications in Scopus or Web of Science. Maintains a balance between citations and the author's contribution. Primarily uses primary sources. Follows APA 7th edition guidelines 		
AVERAGE SCORE (Sum of scores/12)		
GENERAL RECOMMENDATIONS		
Provide general recommendations on the manuscript.		

FINAL DECISION

Mark the appropriate box for your evaluation of the manuscript.

Recommendation	Mark with X
Publish without modifications	
Publish with suggested modifications	
Do not publish	